### Case 3:07-cv-01292-LAP-CAB Document Filed 07/18/07 RageID.1 Page 1 of 10 FILED 1 MARK I. LABATON (CA Bar No. 159555) KREINDLER & KREINDLER LLP 07 JUL 18 AM 10: 57 707 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 4100 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (213) 622-6469 Facsimile: (213) 622-6019 mlabaton@kreindler.com CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT 1 TO SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALLFORN 3 4 BY: DEPUTY HILARY B. TAYLOR (CA Bar No. 203796) 5 KREINDLER & KREINDLER LLP 6 100 Park Avenue New York, NY 10017 Telephone: (212) 687-8181 Facsimile: (212) 972-9432 7 8 htaylor@kreindler.com 9 GEORGE A. SHOHET (CA Bar No. 112697) LAW OFFICES OF GEORGE SHOHET 10 245 Main Street, Suite 310 Venice, CA 90291 Telephone: (310) 452-3176 11 Facsimile: (310) 452-2270 12 gshohet@aol.com 13 Attorneys for Relator 14 15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 16 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA '07 CV 1292 LAB (CAB) 17 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ex 18 Civil Case Number: rel. [Under Seal], 19 COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF Plaintiff, FEDERAL FALSE CLAIMS ACT 20 JURY TRIAL DEMANDED 21 v. FILED UNDER SEAL 22 PURSUANT TO THE FALSE CLAIMS ACT [31 U.S.C. § 3729 et 23 [Under Seal], seq. 24 Defendants. 25 26 27 28 206333.1 COMPLAINT FOR FALSE CLAIMS

1 2 3 4	MARK I. LABATON (CA Bar No. 1595 KREINDLER & KREINDLER LLP 707 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 4100 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (213) 622-6469 Facsimile: (213) 622-6019 mlabaton@kreindler.com	55)	
5 6 7 8	HILARY B. TAYLOR (CA Bar No. 203' KREINDLER & KREINDLER LLP 100 Park Avenue New York, NY 10017 Telephone: (212) 687-8181 Facsimile: (212) 972-9432 htaylor@kreindler.com	796)	
9 10 11 12	GEORGE A. SHOHET (CA Bar No. 112 LAW OFFICES OF GEORGE SHOH) 245 Main Street, Suite 310 Venice, CA 90291 Telephone: (310) 452-3176 Facsimile: (310) 452-2270 gshohet@aol.com	697) ET	
13	Attorneys for Relator		
14			
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
16	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
17			
18	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ex	) Civil Case Number:	
19	rel. ANNA WELLS,	COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF	
20	Plaintiff,	FEDERAL FALSE CLAIMS ACT	
21	V.	) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED	
22	CR ASSOCIATES, INC., and DOES 1-500, inclusive,	) FILED UNDER SEAL ) PURSUANT TO THE FALSE	
23	Defendants.	) CLAIMS ACT [31 U.S.C. § 3729 et seq.]	
24		}	
25		}	
26		}	
27			
28			
	<u>- 1</u> 206333.1	-	
	COMPLAINT FOR FALSE CLAIMS		

Relator/plaintiff, Anna Wells, alleges as follows:

# **SUMMARY OF THE ACTION**

- 1. Ms. Wells brings this action on behalf of the United States of America to recover damages, civil penalties, and other relief arising from false claims and false statements made in violation of the Federal False Claims Act ("FCA").
- 2. Defendant CR Associates ("CRA") is a private, for-profit company that operates healthcare clinics throughout the United States providing services to the Department of Veterans Affairs ("the VA").
- 3. This action arises from false claims and false statements made to the VA by CRA's health clinic located in Escondido, California.

### THE PARTIES

- 4. Ms. Wells has worked as a registered nurse since 1997. She holds a Bachelor of Science in Nursing from San Diego State University. Early in 2007, she worked at the VA Clinic in Escondido, California operated by CRA.
- 5. Defendant CRA is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business in Virginia. CRA runs outpatient clinics for the VA throughout the country, including clinics in San Diego, California.
- 6. Relator is unaware of the true names and capacities of the defendants sued as Does 1-500 inclusive.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 1331 and 31 U.S.C. § 3732, which confer jurisdiction on this Court for actions brought under 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729 and 3730.
- 8. This Court has jurisdiction over the defendants pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3732(a), which authorizes nation-wide service of process. Moreover, defendants transact business in this district.

9. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3732(a) because the Defendants can be found in, reside in, and/or transact business in the Southern District of California.

4

## SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS

5

6

### A. CRA's Contract With The VA

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

- 10. In 2006, CRA submitted a proposal to operate the VA Clinic in Escondido, California. In the proposal, CRA agreed, among other things, to: bill the VA only for authorized work and at rates permitted by the VA; provide quality health care; employ a staff certified to perform the services required; and follow mandated health and infection policies.
- 11. On or about October 31, 2006, the VA accepted CRA's proposal and retained CRA "to provide Primary Care services to veterans of the VA San Diego Healthcare System."
- On or about February 26, 2007, Ms. Wells started working at the VA 12. Clinic in Escondido run by CRA. Clinic director, Steven Jackson, hired her as a nurse manager to supervise the nursing staff, assist in the day-to-day management of the clinic and help ensure it complied with VA requirements.

### В. False Charging The VA

- 13. The VA reimbursed the clinic for certain visits that included "treatment" and "diagnosis" of patients. These were referred as "vesting" visits, and the clinic could collect \$420 for such patient visits. CRA had no right to seek reimbursement for other visits to the clinic that did not involve "treatment" and "diagnosis" of patients. Such visits were known as "non-vesting visits." These "non-vesting visits" — including patients coming in merely to have prescriptions filled — constituted the bulk patient visits to the clinic.
- At Mr. Jackson's direction, the clinic classified virtually all visits to 14. as "vesting" ones even though the majority were clearly "non-vesting" visits that

required no "treatment" or "diagnosis." The clinic also knowingly submitted false claims and statements to the VA to fraudulently procure VA funds for clinic visits that it had no right to receive.

15. Based on information and belief, other VA clinics operated by CRAssociates also engage in the same fraudulent billing practices, including charging the VA for non-vesting visits. In so doing, they also have made false claims and statements to the United States.

## C. Healthcare, Training and Privacy Issues

- 16. Besides submitting false claims to the VA for clinic visits for which the clinic had no right to seek reimbursement, the clinic failed to:
- implement policies and procedures for infection control and sterilization of equipment. For example, one machine used to sterilize equipment had not been cleaned for years, and the clinic did not monitor the sterilization of other equipment;
  - maintain defibrillator training or log books;
- advise patients of their rights and provide them with forms confirming they were informed;
  - ensure that only qualified medical personnel fill prescriptions;
  - maintain nursing policy and procedure manuals;
- perform background checks on employees before they were hired and ensure that only such employees receive computer passwords and access to patient medical records;
- ensure that medical assistants pass a medication administration test required by both the Joint Commission of Accrediting Health Organizations and the clinic's contract with the VA; and
- maintain complete medical records and charts and electronic medical records as required by the VA.

6

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

### D. Unlawful Retaliation and Termination

- 17. On or about March 5, 2007, Ms. Wells wrote a memorandum to Mr. Jackson in which she explained her concerns regarding the safety and welling of the clinic's patients and its failure to comply with its VA contract.
- Later that day, Ms. Wells received a call from the human resources 18. administrator at the clinic informing her that she was placed on paid administrative leave. At that time, the clinic's human resources administrator told Ms. Wells this was being done for Ms. Well's benefit so that she did not have to work in an environment that she felt presented a danger to patients.
- 19. While on administrative leave, Ms. Wells met at CRA's request with CRA company representatives Kathleen Orlinsky and Lynne Stockebrand. The meeting took place at a local hotel. Prior to the meeting, Ms. Wells said she wanted to discuss how the clinic's safety and healthcare compliance problems could be fixed. She was assured that this would be addressed. Instead, the two company representatives appeared to have only one interest: they wanted to know if Ms. Wells took any documents from the clinic before being placed on leave.
  - On March 27, 2007, the clinic terminated Ms. Wells' employment. 20.

### **COUNT ONE**

(False Claims Act, 31. U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1))

# (Against All Defendants)

- 21. Ms. Wells realleges and incorporates by reference all paragraphs set forth herein.
- 22. By virtue of the acts described above, defendants knowingly or acting in deliberate ignorance or reckless disregard presented or caused to be presented to the United States false or fraudulent claims for payment or approval in violation of the FCA.
  - Because of these acts, the United States has suffered damages. 23.

1	COUNT TWO		
2	(False Claims Act, 31. U.S.C. § 3729(a)(2))		
3	(Against All Defendants)		
4	24.	Ms. Wells realleges and incorporates by reference all paragraphs set	
5	forth herein.		
6	25.	By virtue of the acts described above, defendants knowingly or acting	
7	in deliberate ignorance or reckless disregard made, used, or caused to be made or		
8	used, falses record or statements to get a false or fraudulent claims paid or		
9	approved by the United States in violation of the FCA.		
10	26.	Because of these acts, the United States has suffered damages.	
11	COUNT THREE		
12	(False Claims Act, 31. U.S.C. § 3729(a)(3))		
13		(Against All Defendants)	
14	27.	Ms. Wells realleges and incorporates by reference all paragraphs set	
15	forth herein.		
16	28.	By virtue of the acts described above, defendants conspired to	
17	defraud the United States by getting a false or fraudulent claim allowed or paid in		
18	violation of the FCA.		
19	29.	Because of these acts, the United States has suffered damages.	
20		COUNT FOUR	
21	(Common Law Fraud)		
22		(Against All Defendants)	
23	30.	Ms. Wells realleges and incorporates by reference all paragraphs set	
24	forth herein.		
25	31.	The false records or statements made by defendants, as described	
26	above, were misrepresented and concealed material facts.		
27			
28		- 6 -	
- 11			

206333.1

1	D.	Ms. Wells respectfully requests leave of the Court to amend the	
2	Complaint when the amount of damages has been fully ascertained or to amend		
3	the Complaint to conform to proof at or prior to trial;		
4	E.	For costs, fees and other relief as may be just and proper;	
5	F.	For a ten percent (10%) surcharge in the amount of the debt owed	
6	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 3011.		
7	DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL		
8	Pursuant to Rule 38 of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and pursuant to the		
9	local rules of Court, Ms. Wells demands a jury trial as to all issues so triable.		
10		17	
11	Dated: Jul	y 2007 Respectfully submitted by:	
12		KREINDLER & KREINDLER LLP	
13		/// /// // //	
14	-MARK I. LABATON		
15		LAW OFFICES OF GEORGE A, SHOHET	
16	Hone Shout the		
17		GEORGE SHOHET	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		- 9 -	
	206333.1		

COMPLAINT FOR FALSE CLAIMS

. Case 3:07-cv-01292-LAB-CAB Document 1 Filed 07/18/07 RageID.10 Page 10 of 10